Coastal, offshore, and migratory movements of South African right whales revealed by satellite telemetry
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ABSTRACT

In September 2001, 21 satellite-monitored radio tags were deployed on southern right whales in South African waters, 15 of which transmitted for 25–161 d. Most coastwise movement on the south coast occurred in a westerly direction with cow-calf pairs moving slowest. Three whales tagged on the west coast and one tagged on the south coast moved north into St Helena Bay, a probable feeding ground, where residence times were 36–100 d. Five animals tracked after leaving the coast maintained a bearing of 201°–220° before branching out over the southeast Atlantic from 37° to 60°S and between 13°W and 16°E, traveling 3,800–8,200 km over the ensuing 53–110 d before transmissions ceased. Their locations were categorized as migrating or nonmigrating based on the relative orientation of the track and net speed. An average of 42% of nonmigrating locations were between 37°S and 45°S, and 53% were south of 52°S, possibly associated with the Subtropical Convergence and Antarctic Polar Front, respectively. Whaling data suggest right whales fed largely on copepods at the former and euphausiids at the latter. If the nonmigrating locations represented feeding at these frontal zones, switching between them would seem to have obvious cost-benefit implications.
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Most published accounts of the seasonal distribution and movements of southern right whales (*Eubalaena australis*) have relied on data from late 18th and early 19th century whaling (Townsend 1935), supplemented by information from illegal 20th century whaling (Tormosov *et al.* 1998). Interpretation of the resultant patterns of movement depends heavily on assumptions regarding the process of whaling and how it might have been affected by influences other than the abundance of whales (Best 1970, Best 1981, Bannister 2001). Other indirect lines of evidence used to investigate possible movement patterns have included stable isotope ratios in baleen (Best and Schell 1996) and combined genetic-stable isotopic analysis of skin (Valenzuela *et al.* 2009). More recently, direct evidence of individual movement has been obtained from photo-identification matches (Best *et al.* 1993, Best 1997, Bannister *et al.* 1999), but the timing, routes, and speeds of migration still remain speculative. Such information is needed to establish patterns of habitat utilization and possibly identify areas of critical importance for the species.

In this paper we report on the movements of southern right whales on and away from the South African coast following the deployment of satellite tags in September 2001. The unprecedented use of this technology with southern right whales provided new insights into cow-calf movements along the coast, and the timing, routes, and migration speeds into offshore areas and different feeding grounds.

**Materials and Methods**

In September 2001, satellite transmitters were deployed on 21 southern right whales in South African waters. The tags, equipped with Telenics ST-15 UHF Argos transmitters, were stainless steel cylinders 1.9 cm in diameter and 26.7 cm long, deployed from a crossbow and designed to be almost completely subdermal (see Mate *et al.* 2007 for details). The tags were programmed to transmit for 4 h every day with a subset of nine tags switching to transmitting 4 h every other day after 90 d to prolong battery life and allow tracking for longer periods (Table 1).

Sixteen of the tags were deployed in St Sebastian Bay on the south coast of South Africa between 8 and 13 September, and five outside Saldanha Bay on the west coast between 21 and 26 September (Fig. 1). Eight tags were placed on cows with calves, all in St Sebastian Bay, while the remaining 13 were placed on animals without calves. The sex of a tagged whale was established either because it had an accompanying calf (at the time of tagging or subsequent observations), from visual inspection of its genital area, or from a skin biopsy by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification followed by *TaqI* digestion of the ZFX/ZFY region of the sex chromosomes (Palsbøll *et al.* 1992).

Tags were monitored by Argos Data Collection and Location Service receivers on NOAA TIROS-N weather satellites in sun-synchronous polar orbits. Locations were calculated by Argos from Doppler-shift data when multiple messages were received during the 7–16 min of a satellite’s passage overhead. Argos-assigned accuracies of 150 m, 350 m, and 1 km for high-quality locations (LC3, LC2, and LC1, respectively; see http://www.argos-system.org/html/system/faq_en.html#LOCATION%20ACCURACY) and an 11.5 km error around poor-quality locations (LC0, LCA, and LCB; based on results from previous tests; Mate *et al.* 1997) were used as radial errors. LCZ locations, locations on land farther than the assigned error distance from the ocean, poor-quality locations within 1 h of high-quality locations, and LC1 locations within 10 min of LC2 or LC3 locations were not used. If two
Table 1. Deployment details, number of days tracked, and number of locations for 21 southern right whales, *E. australis*, satellite tagged off South Africa, 2001 (STSB = St Sebastian Bay, SB = Saldanha Bay; H-Q are high-quality locations with classes LC1, LC2 or LC3, P-Q are poor-quality locations with classes LC0, LCA, or LCB; Duty Cycle 1: on for 4 h/d, 2: on for 4 h/d then on for 4 h every other day after 90 d).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Sex/Class</th>
<th>Deploy date</th>
<th>Deploy location</th>
<th>Days tracked</th>
<th>Number of filtered locations</th>
<th>Number rejected (%)</th>
<th>Average locations per day</th>
<th>Duty cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>823</td>
<td>Cow + calf</td>
<td>8 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22 19 41</td>
<td>4 (9)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>824</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>8 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>825</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>8 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32 49 81</td>
<td>24 (23)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>826</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>10 22 32</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>827</td>
<td>Cow + calf</td>
<td>8 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28 17 45</td>
<td>13 (22)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>831</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>22 September 2001</td>
<td>SB</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>217 19</td>
<td>9 (32)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>834</td>
<td>Cow + calf</td>
<td>12 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>835</td>
<td>Cow + calf</td>
<td>13 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>836</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>21 September 2001</td>
<td>SB</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>68 112 180</td>
<td>44 (20)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>837</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1 1 1</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>838</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>48 52 100</td>
<td>14 (12)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>839</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>8 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>54 108 162</td>
<td>35 (18)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>843</td>
<td>Cow + calf</td>
<td>8 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>77 27 104</td>
<td>34 (23)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>847</td>
<td>Cow + calf</td>
<td>12 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>65 58 123</td>
<td>26 (17)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>848</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>32 154 186</td>
<td>40 (18)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1385</td>
<td>Cow + calf</td>
<td>8 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 1 2</td>
<td>2 (50)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4172</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>26 September 2001</td>
<td>SB</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>54 156 210</td>
<td>65 (23)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10826</td>
<td>Cow + calf</td>
<td>13 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0 1 1</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23031</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>22 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>110 201 311</td>
<td>84 (21)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23034</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12 September 2001</td>
<td>STSB</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>7 60 67</td>
<td>25 (27)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23037</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>22 September 2001</td>
<td>SB</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>13 27 40</td>
<td>6 (13)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 1,078 624 361 1,705 427
poor-quality locations were less than 1 h apart, only the location providing the shortest distance between previous and subsequent acceptable locations was used. If two LC1 locations were <10 min apart, only the location providing the shortest distance between previous and subsequent acceptable locations was used. Minimum distances and speeds were then calculated between retained locations. Additional locations were eliminated if the speed between a location and the previous one was >15 km/h after adjusting for radial error. Of the 2,132 locations obtained from all tags, 427 (20%; Table 1) were rejected.

This method of filtering was chosen instead of other approaches such as Austin et al. (2003) and Freitas et al. (2008) because far fewer locations were received (average 2/d, Table 1) as compared to 5.7/d available in other studies (Austin et al. 2003). With the greater interval between locations resulting from only 4 h daily transmission cycles, it becomes problematic to use very aggressive filtering techniques. Additionally, locations on land were not automatically excluded as it could lead to an asymmetric offshore skewing of tracks. We recognize the challenge to the readers in interpreting graphics with locations on land but believe this approach provides the best analysis of movements especially along coastal areas.

The remaining locations were combined with the GPS locations obtained when the tags were deployed to construct individual tracks. Distances between locations were calculated using the spherical law of cosines and, in combination with the elapsed time (recorded to the nearest second), were used to develop swimming speeds. Being net values, these are underestimates of true speed over the ground (unless the animal is consistently swimming in one direction), but in combination with information about swimming direction, they are useful as an indication of animal behavior in different habitats.
Initial bearings between locations were calculated assuming a great-circle route. A measure of the angular dispersion of movement, $r$, has been calculated for each set of three consecutive initial bearings ($a_1 \ldots a_3$) where

$$r = \sqrt{\left(\sum \cos(a_i)/n\right)^2 + \left(\sum \sin(a_i)/n\right)^2}.$$  

The value of $r$ varies inversely with the amount of dispersion so that $r = 0$ indicates that there is total dispersion and $r = 1.0$ that all the bearings are identical (Zar 1984).

Movements between locations for individuals that moved offshore were categorized as either migrating or nonmigrating using criteria established from the visual examination of speed and $r$ distributions for all animals (assuming the majority of the movement along the coast would be nonmigrating). Sections of track for individuals that moved offshore were categorized as migrating if $r > 0.9$ and the net speed was $>2$ km/h; otherwise the tracks would be considered as nonmigrating. These criteria were derived from a comparison of the behavior of animals on the coast (presumably not migrating) and those offshore (see later). The value of $r > 0.9$ was also used in a previous study describing the migration of humpback whales on the west coast of South Africa (Best et al. 1995).

The tags also provided a cumulative count of the number of surfacings made by the whales. A saltwater conductivity switch (SWS) established when a tag was above or below water and surfacings were determined when this SWS was above the surface of the water following a submergence of 6 s or more (Mate et al. 2007). Surfacing rates were calculated by dividing the number of surfacings between consecutive satellite passes by the elapsed time between these passes. Due to satellite schedules and tag duty cycles, elapsed times can range from less than 1 min to several days, thus providing from near instantaneous to long-term integrated surfacing rates.

Surfacing rates were compared between cows with calves and adults without calves. An offshore surfacing rate was calculated for individuals that moved offshore and compared with the surfacing rate calculated for when they were in coastal areas. Surfacing rates were calculated for migrating and nonmigrating track segments and were compared within individuals. All data were tested for normality (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test), equality of variances (Levine’s test), and autocorrelation (Durbin-Watson test) prior to statistical comparisons performed using Generalized Linear Modeling (GLM) techniques.

**RESULTS**

Six of the tags either failed to transmit at all or transmitted for $\leq 1$ d, so did not contribute to the results in this paper. Four of these six whales with failed tags were resighted 3–4 yr later, assuring their lack of transmissions were the result of electronic or mechanical failure (including detachment) and not whale mortality. Three of the cows with transmitting tags were seen from the air with their calves still in attendance 27–36 d following tagging so that their movements can reasonably be taken as representative of cow-calf pairs. In an analysis of right whales observed along the South Africa coastlines through 2005 (Best and Mate 2007), six of the tagged cows with calves were also subsequently seen with calves at intervals of 3–4 yr,
similar to the observed intervals prior to tagging. These results suggest that tagging had no significant effect on reproductive success.

The 15 successful tags transmitted for periods of between 25 and 161 d (Table 1). Those on cows with calves lasted for a shorter period (25–57 d, \( \bar{X} = 37.0 \) d, SD = 14.7 d, \( n = 4 \)) than those on other classes (35–161 d, \( \bar{X} = 84.5 \) d, SD = 43.9 d, \( n = 11 \); Wilcoxon test, \( P = 0.022 \)).

Nine of the 15 tagged whales did not leave the coast before their tag stopped transmitting, 25–69 d (\( \bar{X} = 43.6 \) d, SD = 16.3 d) after deployment. Four of these were cows with calves, three were unaccompanied females, one was a male, and one was of undetermined sex. The remaining six whales (whose tags transmitted 64–161 d, \( \bar{X} = 114.2 \) d, SD = 35.9 d and did not include any cows with calves) all eventually left the coast, and all but one were then tracked to oceanic feeding grounds.

Because of the different geographic scales involved, and because the primary behaviors of the animals were likely to be different, results have been presented separately for coastal and offshore movements with development of criteria to categorize offshore movements into migrating and nonmigrating segments.

**Coastal Movements**

A time line has been constructed of the number of days after tagging (DAT) spent in various coastal areas or passing between coastal features (Fig. 1, Table 2). As the tags were deployed in two discrete blocks of time and space, the time lines were separated into whales tagged in St Sebastian Bay (Table 2A) and those tagged in Saldanha Bay (Table 2B).

Most movement after tagging in St Sebastian Bay occurred in a westerly direction: only three of the 10 animals moved into the adjacent segment to the east and then only for a maximum of 8 d. Movement, however, was not always unidirectional; sometimes animals back-tracked into segments in which they had already been present (although some of these shifts may have been artifacts resulting from inaccurate locations). The westward movement of cow-calf pairs tended to be slower than for other classes: two cows were still in St Sebastian Bay 25 DAT or off De Hoop 27 DAT, while the other two finally rounded Cape Agulhas 23 and 43 DAT (Fig. 2). By contrast, while one animal of unknown sex was still off Struisbaai 37 DAT, four other whales (two females, a male, and one of unknown sex) rounded Cape Agulhas between 10 and 16 DAT, while a fifth (male) had left the coast 18 DAT. The two cow-calf pairs tracked as far west as Walker Bay only arrived there 33 and 51 DAT, while four other animals reached the bay 12, 15, 20, and 22 DAT.

Two individuals (one male and one female) tagged in St Sebastian Bay traveled far enough west to round Cape Point and move onto the west coast. The female (23054) was tracked as far north as St Helena Bay, where it spent 39 d before transmissions ceased (Fig. 3). This is the longest period of continuous occupancy of a single segment of coast by an animal tagged in St Sebastian Bay.

The five whales tagged in Saldanha Bay consisted of two females and three males (Table 2B). One female traveled rapidly south and east, rounded Cape Point 3 DAT and Cape Agulhas 8 DAT, and reached St Sebastian Bay 10 DAT. Two days later it left the coast. This was the fastest transit of the coast of any of the tagged animals.

One of the three males, after a brief visit to St Helena Bay, traveled south round Cape Point and into False Bay, from where it left the coast 27 DAT. The remaining
Table 2. Coastwise movements of southern right whales, *E. australis*, tagged off South Africa, expressed as days post tagging spent in coastal areas, or passing certain coastal features.

### A. Whales tagged in St Sebastian Bay, 8–12 September 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Ystervarkpunt area and offshore</th>
<th>St Sebastian Bay</th>
<th>De Hoop</th>
<th>Struisbaai</th>
<th>Round Cape Agulhas</th>
<th>Quoin Point Area</th>
<th>Walker/Sandown Bay area</th>
<th>Round Cape Point</th>
<th>West coast south of Saldanha</th>
<th>St Helena Bay area</th>
<th>Fate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>823</td>
<td>22–23</td>
<td>T + 14</td>
<td>14–20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Signal lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>827</td>
<td>T + 19</td>
<td>20–27+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Signal lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>843</td>
<td>T + 4</td>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>5,8–15</td>
<td>&lt;7,23</td>
<td>24–32</td>
<td>33–39+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Signal lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>847</td>
<td>T + 1</td>
<td>1,28–30</td>
<td>30–32</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>51–57+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Signal lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>826</td>
<td>T + 4</td>
<td>5–7</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;16</td>
<td>16–17</td>
<td>22–36+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Signal lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23034</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>T,8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12–20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25–28</td>
<td>30–69+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Signal lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>838</td>
<td>10–18</td>
<td>T + 8</td>
<td>9–14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16–19</td>
<td>20–47</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>56–66+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Signal lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>848</td>
<td>T + 3</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>16–19</td>
<td>20–47</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>56–66+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Left coast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Signal lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>825</td>
<td>T + 13</td>
<td>14–26</td>
<td>34–37+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Left coast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>839</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>11–12</td>
<td>&lt;8,&gt;12</td>
<td>15–21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Left coast</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued.
Table 2. (Continued)

B. Whales tagged in Saldanha Bay, 21–26 September 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Northern Cape</th>
<th>St Helena Bay area</th>
<th>Saldanha Bay</th>
<th>West coast south of Saldanha</th>
<th>Round Cape</th>
<th>False Point Bay area</th>
<th>Walker/Quoin Cape</th>
<th>False Bay</th>
<th>Quoin Point area</th>
<th>Round Cape</th>
<th>De Hoop</th>
<th>St Sebastian</th>
<th>Bay</th>
<th>Fate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>831</td>
<td>16–27</td>
<td>2, 13, T + 1, 8</td>
<td>T + 1, 8</td>
<td>16–27</td>
<td>2, 13, T + 1, 8</td>
<td>1–2, 3, 4, 5–6, 7–8</td>
<td>8, 9–10, 10–12</td>
<td>Left coast</td>
<td>Signal lost</td>
<td>Left coast</td>
<td>Left coast</td>
<td>Left coast</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>836</td>
<td>29–35+</td>
<td>T + 1</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>T + 1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>7–8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9–10</td>
<td>10–12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4172</td>
<td>7–107</td>
<td>T + 1</td>
<td>7–12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>T + 1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22–27</td>
<td>Left coast</td>
<td></td>
<td>Left coast</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23031</td>
<td>7–107</td>
<td>T + 7</td>
<td>T + 7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>T + 7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22–27</td>
<td>Left coast</td>
<td></td>
<td>Left coast</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23037</td>
<td>11–46</td>
<td>T, 7–9</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Gaps in the time line reflect missing days of transmissions. T = day of tagging.
two males and the second female moved north within a few days of tagging and entered St Helena Bay (Fig. 3). Apart from an excursion into the Northern Cape by female 831 (not shown in Fig. 3 for reasons of scale), these animals remained within the bay or in its vicinity for an extended period. The rate of information return for whale 831 (19 locations over 35 d) is the lowest of all the tagged whales, with a very high proportion (12/19) of LCB locations, making it difficult to interpret its movements accurately. The two males (23031 and 23037) spent 100 d and 36–47 d (depending on interpretation of the incomplete location record), respectively, within the bay before they left the coast. An inspection of the locations available for the four whales (including 23034) that spent time in St Helena Bay demonstrates an intense concentration in an area running roughly 50 km northeast from the headland at Cape Columbine (Fig. 3).

Coastal “Residence Time” and Departure from the Coast

Departure from the coast was documented for six whales, with departure points varying from the Cape Columbine area on the west coast (two animals) to Cape Point, Walker Bay, Cape Infanta and the Ystervarkpunt region on the south coast. The first (a male) left on 24 September, the second (an unsexed individual) on 30 September, the third (female) on 4 October, and the fourth (male) on 25 October. The last two animals (both male) only left the coast after visiting the feeding ground in St Helena Bay: the first left sometime between 20 and 25 November, and the second on 8 January 2002. Coastal residence times since tagging were therefore 16, 22, 13, 29, 59–64, and 108 d, respectively ($\bar{X} = 41–42$ d).

Minimum residence times for the other tagged whales, whose transmitters ceased functioning before they left the coast, ranged from 25 to 57 d for four cow-calf pairs ($\bar{X} = 37$ d), and from 35 to 69 d ($\bar{X} = 48.8$ d) for five other whales, one of which was a male (66 d) and three were female (35, 36, and 69 d).
Figure 3. Locations of four satellite-tagged right whales, *E. australis*, in St Helena Bay area of South Africa, September 2001–January 2002.

**Speeds, Angular Dispersion, and Criteria for Categorizing Movement**

Net speeds of movement documented for cow-calf pairs were generally low, ranging up to 10.5 km/h but with 88% of the net speeds being <2 km/h and 96% <5 km/h. The mean net speeds for the cow-calf pairs ranged from 0.6 to 1.5 km/h with a mean of 1.1 km/h. While close to shore, other classes of whale exhibited a somewhat similar range of net speeds, but with relatively fewer in the slowest range class (<0.5 km/h) and more in the higher classes; individual’s mean net speeds ranged from 1.0 to 2.8 km/h with an overall mean of 1.6 km/h (SD = 0.59 km/h, *n* = 11). Such net speeds contrasted markedly with those seen once the whales moved offshore (*n* = 5) where individual mean net speeds ranged from 2.8 to 3.8 km/h (X = 3.3 km/h, SD = 0.37 km/h, Fig. 4).

The distribution of *r* values for both cow-calf pairs and other whale classes in coastal waters has a distinct mode at 0.3–0.4, indicative of little concerted directionality of movement (Fig. 5). The distribution of *r* values for whales that moved offshore is quite different, with a small mode also at 0.3–0.4, but a much larger one at
values of 0.9–1.0. Choosing a value of $r = 0.9$ would therefore seem to be a useful way of discriminating between whales that are on the move and those that are indulging in some other activity (feeding, resting, reproduction). However, $r$ values of this magnitude also occurred, presumably by chance, in coastal waters where...
right whales are generally not considered to be migrating. In order to discriminate between whales with a high $r$ value that are actively on the move and those that are not, the percentage frequency distribution of net speeds for animals while on the coast has been compared with that for individuals offshore for cases where $r \geq 0.9$. The distributions are clearly different (Fig. 6), with 71% of the speeds recorded for animals traveling with this directionality inshore being less than 2 km/h, and 83% of the speeds for animals offshore being in excess of 2 km/h.

The criteria adopted for determining that a section of track represents migrating behavior have therefore been chosen as locations with an $r$ value $\geq 0.9$ and a net speed $\geq 2$ km/h. Because the $r$ value is a composite of the information from three sequential locations, a location satisfying these criteria and the locations before and after are categorized as migrating. All other locations are categorized as nonmigrating.

**Offshore Movements**

The movements of five (one female, three males, and one of unknown sex) of the six tagged right whales that departed the coast could be monitored; the transmitter of the sixth only produced one location of reasonable quality following departure. The initial courses followed by the whales were surprisingly consistent. For the first 700–800 km of their journey away from the coast, the distance-weighted mean of bearings between locations for all five animals only varied from 201.2$^\circ$ to 220.5$^\circ$, with a mean of 211.2$^\circ$ (Table 3). Irrespective of when or from where they left the coast, all whales initially headed southwest into the South Atlantic. After this initial dispersion, however, their behavior varied greatly. Over the ensuing 53–110 d, each

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Date departed</th>
<th>Initial distance covered (km)</th>
<th>Number of locations</th>
<th>Average bearing, distance-weighted</th>
<th>Days away from coast</th>
<th>Number of locations (number migrating)</th>
<th>Distance traveled (km)</th>
<th>Overall speed (km/h)</th>
<th>Start position</th>
<th>End position</th>
<th>Number of locations enroute</th>
<th>Distance traveled (km)</th>
<th>Overall speed (km/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>836</td>
<td>4 October 2001</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>201.2°</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>153 (98)</td>
<td>5,606</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>34.77°S</td>
<td>44.15°S</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1,285</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>839</td>
<td>29 September 2001</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>220.5°</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>143 (102)</td>
<td>8,197</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>34.78°S</td>
<td>53.10°S</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2,468</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>848</td>
<td>27 September 2001</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>215.7°</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>170 (43)</td>
<td>5,766</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>37.91°S</td>
<td>48.26°S</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1,534</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4172</td>
<td>23 October 2001</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>210°</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>174 (69)</td>
<td>6,236</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>20.50°E</td>
<td>11.92°E</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2,464</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23031</td>
<td>8 January 2002</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>208.5°</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>136 (50)</td>
<td>3,846</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.67°E</td>
<td>14.42°E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Whale 4172 did not provide enough sequential “migration” locations to be included in concerted southward migration.*
animal travelled between 3,800 and 8,200 km, independently ranging over a large part of the South East Atlantic, from 37°S to 60°S and between 13°W and 16°E, or roughly an area of 5.3 million km².

Some portions of the offshore tracks were characterized by successive migrating locations for periods of 9–22 d, during which time the animals moved in a southerly direction away from the South African coastline; we have termed these concerted southward migrations (Table 3). For these episodes the overall track lengths have been obtained by summing the distances between locations, and dividing by the known time elapsed to give a mean speed over the ground. Three of the tagged whales (836, 848, and 23031) maintained mean speeds of 5.1–6.5 km/h over 9–15 d, while the fourth (839) maintained a mean of 4.4 km/h over 22 d. Whale 4172 did not provide enough sequential migrating locations to be included in this analysis.

The five whales adopted three different strategies for dispersal (Fig. 7). Two whales (848 and 23031) travelled more or less directly to high latitudes, with 77% and 79% of their offshore locations coming from below 50°S. All locations from another whale (4172) were north of 46°S while the final two (836 and 839) moved between localities south of 50°S and north of 45° (up to 40°S). One of the latter two whales (839) made a second sortie down to nearly 60°S before its transmitter stopped.

We considered nonmigrating offshore locations as probable feeding locations, although this does not exclude the possibility of other nonlocomotory behavior such as socializing or resting. Per individual these represent 29%–75% of all locations received, with the two whales that migrated between high and low latitude zones...
having appreciably fewer such locations (29%–36%) than the other three (60%–75%).

Illustrating the distribution of these nonmigrating locations by latitude over time from September to March makes the separation of the probable feeding zones clear (Fig. 8). The average of the five whales’ proportions of nonmigrating locations located between 37° and 45°S was 42%, 53% south of 52°S, and only 5% in the intervening 7° of latitude. This separation persisted throughout the period of the feeding season monitored. During November/December, animals feeding in the northern zone appeared to be stratified into two groups about 2°–3° of latitude apart, while those feeding in the southern zone seemed to shift gradually into higher latitudes as the season advanced.

**Surfacing Rates**

Surfacing rates were computed from cumulative counts of surfacings between satellite passes using time segments from 0.24 min up to 119 h. Rates ranged from 3 to 327 surfacings/h throughout the tracking periods. An outlier analysis for each whale was performed using a maximum normalized residual test (Sokal and Rohlf 1981). All rates >150 surfacings/h were considered outliers (n = 14, P ranging from <0.00001 to 0.016) and not used in further analyses. Data from the five whales that moved offshore were analyzed in detail to determine if rates varied between coastal and offshore. Surfacing rates for two of these whales (4172 and 23031) were log transformed to normalize data. Significant autocorrelation was detected for all whales (Durbin-Watson statistic P < 0.0001) and was corrected by the Cochrane-Orcutt procedure (Neter et al. 1989). Variances of the transformed values were unequal between whales so each whale was analyzed individually. Modified Bonferroni adjusted levels of significance (Jaccard and Wan 1996) were used for GLM comparisons. Four of the five whales (836, 839, 4172, and 23031) had significantly higher surfacing rates while offshore than in coastal waters (GLM P values ranging...
Table 4. Mean surfacing rates for coastal waters, migration, and feeding for southern right whales tagged off South Africa, September 2001 (‘significantly higher; ’significantly lower).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Coastal</th>
<th>Migration</th>
<th>Feeding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>836</td>
<td>47 ± 14.8 (35)</td>
<td>73 ± 23.3 (151)</td>
<td>61 ± 20.3 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>839</td>
<td>61 ± 17.3 (26)</td>
<td>71 ± 21.5 (158)</td>
<td>64 ± 25.7 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>848</td>
<td>67 ± 12.0 (25)</td>
<td>47 ± 14.8 (69)</td>
<td>51 ± 14.8 (196)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4172</td>
<td>29 ± 15.0 (57)</td>
<td>47 ± 29.1 (120)</td>
<td>38 ± 22.0 (163)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23031</td>
<td>40 ± 11.5 (298)</td>
<td>46 ± 15.0 (76)</td>
<td>62 ± 16.9 (125)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

from <0.0001 to 0.031). The fifth whale (848) had the opposite pattern, with significantly higher rates in coastal waters than offshore (GLM $P < 0.0001$).

Further comparisons subdividing offshore rates into migrating and nonmigrating categories (using the previously-mentioned location categorizations) showed significant differences between coastal, migrating, and nonmigrating surfing rates for three whales (836 GLM $P = 0.0003$, 848 GLM $P \leq 0.0001$, and 23031 GLM $P \leq 0.0001$; Table 4), however there was no consistent pattern in the differences between whales.

Mean surfacing rates in coastal waters were significantly higher ($t$-test, $P = 0.007$) for cows with calves $\bar{X} = 70 \pm 6.5$ surfacings/h, $n = 4$) than for adults without calves ($\bar{X} = 45 \pm 3.9$ surfacings/h, $n = 11$, excluding whale 1385 for which there were only three data points). Because no cows with calves provided offshore rates, additional comparisons were not possible.

**DISCUSSION**

The use of satellite tags in this study provided an unprecedented opportunity for gaining insight into the patterns of movement of southern right whales on and away from the South African coastline, information that was otherwise unavailable for any population of southern right whales. By integrating satellite tracking information with that from aerial surveys, photo-identification, and historical catches, a more complete and detailed description of movements is possible.

Locations from cows with calves were grouped in specific areas along the coastline, especially in St Sebastian Bay, off De Hoop, in Struisbaai, around Quoin Point, in Walker Bay and Sandown Bay, and corresponded to known concentration areas for cow-calf pairs (Elwen and Best 2004). The movements detected between areas suggest that these are areas of congregation where cow-calf pairs tend to linger to socialize or because conditions are somehow favorable for calf development.

The seasonal shift of three of the cow-calf pairs to the west confirms what was suspected from resightings on aerial surveys (Best 2000), but could not be confirmed due to bias in the survey protocol. Most of the movements of the other whales tagged in St Sebastian Bay also occurred in a westerly direction. A similar westward shift of right whales along the southern Australian coast in winter was suggested by 19th century whalers (Bannister 1986), and subsequently confirmed by the movements of individually identified animals of both sexes, as part of a postulated annual anticlockwise “circulation” of whales south of Australia (Burnell 2001).
The south-westerly movement away from the coast by all five of the animals that were tracked offshore might suggest that there is some link between the westward coastal shift in winter and the southwesterly departure from the coast in spring. Given the prevailing West Wind Drift in the Southern Ocean south of Africa, utilization of feeding grounds to the west of the continent in summer (with a relatively short cross-current return transit to the north in winter) might make energetic sense, and would be consistent with the circulation pattern proposed by Burnell (2001).

Our estimates of coastal residence times, although minimum values, are still important. They are not as subject to spatial or temporal limitations as earlier estimates based on photo-identification, and for some individuals an exact date of departure is known. Not unexpectedly, given that neither arrival nor departure dates were monitored, the estimated residence times for cow-calf pairs (25–57 d, $\bar{X} = 37$ d) are much lower than those published from Australia ($\bar{X} = 71$ d, maximum 108 d, Burnell and Bryden 1997) or indeed from South Africa (12–105 d, $\bar{X} = 59$ d, Best 2000) and must be considered negatively biased. Tagged cow-calf pairs had a shorter tag life than other classes probably as a result of tag damage arising from the strong thigmotactic behavior shown by neonatal right whales towards their mothers.

Although results are not directly comparable due to differing methodologies and assumptions, coastal residence time estimates for unaccompanied whales are surprisingly high (13–108 d, $\bar{X} = 41–42$ d for those with known departure times, and 35–69 d, $\bar{X} = 49$ d for others) in this study compared to those published for Australia ($\bar{X} = 20.4$ d, maximum 93 d; Burnell and Bryden 1997). Part of this difference may be the inclusion of data for whales that visited St Helena Bay in spring: if these are excluded, the values for unaccompanied whales become 13–29 d ($\bar{X} = 20$ d) for those with known departure times, and 36–66 d ($\bar{X} = 47$ d) for others.

Net speeds recorded during concerted southward movements of tagged whales (4.4–6.5 km/h) are the first for migrating southern right whales, and are higher than all but one of the speeds recorded for North Atlantic right whales by Mate et al. (1997), although that study did not distinguish migrating from nonmigrating or probable feeding sections of the tracks. The individual mean net speeds estimated in coastal waters for the tagged whales (0.6–2.8 km/h) are similar to the average and net swimming speeds for 34 groups of right whales in South African waters recorded by theodolite from shore (0.4–3.6 km/h, $\bar{X} = 1.7$ km/h and 0.01–3.1 km/h, $\bar{X} = 1.0$ km/h, respectively, Best 2000). Net speeds estimated in the same study, from resightings of individual cow-calf pairs at intervals of one day ($n = 17$), ranged from 0.4 to 2.9 km/h, with a mean of 1.3 km/h. As coastal speeds of tagged whales are of a similar magnitude, and are well below the speeds recorded while offshore, it suggests that the satellite-derived data (although still conservative) are not unduly biased by location error or the interval between data points.

The ranges for surfacing rates reported here are within those reported in the literature for other baleen whale species (21–88 surfacings/h for humpback whales, Lagerquist et al. 2008; 60 surfacings/h for blue whales, Lagerquist et al. 2000; 64 surfacings/h for fin whales, Kopelman and Sadove 1995; 18–47 surfacings/h for bowhead whales, Krutzikowsky and Mate 2000; 63 surfacings/h for gray whales, Würsig et al. 1986). It is not surprising that surfacing rates of cows with calves were higher than the rates of adults without calves. This likely reflects the tendency for the mother to remain with the calf that has to surface more frequently than an adult. Baumgartner and Mate (2003) found longer surface intervals for North Atlantic right whale calves and females with calves than for other age/reproductive classes
(excluding a pregnant female) and suggested that the surfacing behavior of females with calves is governed by the calf’s diving capabilities.

Surfacing rates have been shown to be higher during feeding than during other behaviors for lunge-feeding rorquals, due, potentially, to the high energetic cost of lunging (Acevedo-Gutiérrez et al. 2002, Goldbogen et al. 2008). The skim or continuous ram feeding strategy of right whales is believed to be less costly than lunge-feeding (Croll et al. 2001), and may result in lower surfacing rates for feeding right whales than those engaged in other behaviors. Individual variation in this study was such that no consistent pattern was exhibited in surfacing rates. While four of the five whales that migrated away from the coast had higher surfacing rates offshore than in coastal waters, one had the opposite pattern. Of the former four whales, one (836) showed higher rates for migrating than during nonmigrating segments or time in coastal waters, while another (848) showed higher rates in coastal waters than during migrating or nonmigrating segments. A third whale (23031) had higher rates during nonmigrating sections, lower rates during time in coastal waters, and intermediate rates during migrating sections. This latter whale was the animal that spent 100 d in St Helena Bay after tagging, presumably feeding. Perhaps different prey encountered by this whale in the two feeding areas, coastal and offshore (described later), contributed to different feeding behavior and hence, different surfacing rates in these areas. Interpretation of the surfacing rate data should be treated with caution, however, given that the exposure of the tag may depend to some extent on its position on the body, and that the data are calculated over time spans averaging 7–11 h per whale, during which the activity patterns of the animal may change.

Perhaps the most interesting and unexpected result of the tagging was the discovery of the different feeding areas available to southern right whales in the South Atlantic and the variety of strategies used to exploit them.

The concentration of the tag locations in St Helena Bay corresponds with the position of a well-known upwelling plume that extends northwards from Cape Columbine and curves eastwards towards the coast in response to cyclonic wind curvature (Taunton-Clark 1985). This region exhibits some of the highest levels of zooplankton abundance on the west coast (Verheye et al. 1998), and recent observations have confirmed the presence of whales feeding (largely on copepods) in the vicinity of the clump of tag locations in spring and early summer. Additionally, St Helena Bay was a late 18th century whaling ground (Best 2006), with catches concentrated in summer and autumn rather than in winter and spring, indicating that its principal function was unlikely to be a nursery ground. This area is a potentially important feeding ground for southern African right whales and may account for the amount of time spent there by the four tagged whales, although the exact number of animals using it has yet to be established.

Of the two offshore zones utilized by the tagged right whales in summer (Fig. 8), the more northerly seems to be associated with the Subtropical Convergence (STC) which in the South Atlantic has a mean position of 41°40’S and is known for its high primary productivity (Ansorge and Lutjeharms 2007). In the vicinity of Tristan da Cunha separate fronts have been associated with the northern and southern boundaries of the STC, with the northern front located at 35°–37.3°S and the southern at approximately 39°S, although these positions may shift seasonally by up to 2.5° of latitude (Smythe-Wright et al. 1998). It is possible that the simultaneous “shadowing” of the nonmigrating locations in the northern zone seen in November/December (Fig. 8) represents the three tagged whales foraging at the northern and southern boundaries of the STC, some 3° of latitude apart.
The two zones could possibly be associated with different potential prey types. Between 1951 and 1971, the stomachs of southern right whales examined north of 40°S were dominated by copepods (91.7%), while of those between 40° and 50°S, 71.4% contained copepods, 24.3% euphausiids and the remainder small crustaceans (Tormosov et al. 1998), suggesting that the whales’ principal prey in this feeding zone was copepods. A similar latitudinal succession of prey types has been recorded for the Antarctic sei whale, *Balaenoptera borealis schlegelli* and given the similar feeding behavior and distribution of the two whales it is possible that the same food species are involved. Copepods (especially *Calanus tonsus* and *C. similimus*) occurred in the sei whale diet from about 40°S to the middle of the subantarctic zone (51°–52°S).

In the northern part of the range copepods were the dominant food type but were supplanted by smaller euphausiids such as *Euphausia vallentini* or the amphipod *Themisto gaudichaudii* in the southern part closer to 50°S (Kawamura 1974). The more southerly nonmigrating zone, running from 52° to 57°S (Fig. 8), may correspond to the position of the Antarctic Polar Front (APF, or Antarctic Convergence). Here northward-moving Antarctic water sinks below warmer Subantarctic water, forming strong horizontal gradients in temperature and salinity: its average location is at 52°–53°S, although south of Africa it may lie at only 50°47′S (Ansrorge and Lutjeharms 2007). South of 50°S, right whale stomach contents consisted almost entirely (99.4%) of unidentified euphausiids (Tormosov et al. 1998). Sei whales at these latitudes were feeding to the north on *T. gaudichaudii* and to the south on *Euphausia superba* (Kawamura 1974), and these remain the most likely targets for right whales feeding in this zone.

Almost half (9/19) of the nonmigrating locations found in the intermediate zone between 45°S and 52°S occurred in a single episode by whale 848 between 19 and 30 October 2001, when it was some 100 km south of the Meteor Seamount (at 48°S, 8°30′E). This area was also traversed a few days earlier by whale 839 and about a week later by whale 836, and although neither of the latter two whales tarried in the region, the intersection of all three tracks in this location suggests that the vicinity of the seamount may hold some significance for southern right whales in late spring, as has been indicated for blue whales in the North Pacific (Moore et al. 2002).

The five southern right whales tracked offshore displayed four different foraging strategies involving three different feeding zones (APF, STC, St Helena Bay). Two individuals (836 and 839) traveled firstly to the APF and then to the STC, with 839 subsequently returning to the APF. One individual (848) travelled to the APF and remained there. Another individual (4172) seemed to only visit the STC, while the last (23031) spent 100 d in St Helena Bay before moving directly to the APF. Some of these strategies may have converged or new ones emerged but since the animals were not tracked for an entire summer season, these possibilities remain unknown.

The strategy of moving between feeding zones had obvious cost-benefit implications, as it resulted in a substantially lower incidence of nonmigrating locations. The reduction in feeding frequency that this suggests might be compensated for by a higher density or energy content of prey on the new ground, but the mechanisms by which right whales are able to make such long-range decisions remains a subject of future research.
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